

MINUTES OF THE MEETING
PRESENT:

- Chair** Jack Pyburn (JPY)
- Present**
- Bureau Members: Dumitru Rusu (DR), Enrique Madia (ED), Grethe Pontoppidon (GP), Leo Schmidt (LS), Sangeeta Bagga (SB), Stefania Landi (SL), Susan Macdonald (SM)
 - EP Associates: Kaleigh Sawyer (KS), Nityaalyer (NI), Saranya Dharshini (SD)
- Regrets**
- Bureau Members: Kiran Joshi (KJ)

PROCEEDINGS:
ITEM DELIBERATIONS & DECISIONS

1. **Welcome, Attendance, Regrets:**
Jack Pyburn welcomed all members to the meeting.
2. **Additions to Agenda:**
None
3. **Approval of Minutes of June 2021 Bureau Meeting:**
Pending
Action: KJ, SD
4. **Secretary General's Comments:**No discussion
5. **Group Reports:**
 - a. **Policy Group:**
 - i. **Journeys to Authenticity (JTA):**
Update was shared by Saranya Dharshini since she and Nityaa Iyer have recently joined the JtA team. Saranya mentioned that at the last JtA meeting held on 9 September 2021 it was discussed that a survey will be shared with all scientific committees and national committees to understand the meaning of Authenticity in the context of region or practice.
 - ii. **Climate Change:**
No discussion
 - iii. **Sustainable Development:**
 - iv. No discussion
 - v. **InnovaConcrete:**
 - Stefania Landi mentioned that there was a meeting and an email was sent to her, Kyle Normandin, and Gunny Harboe (represented by Fernando), for the Innovaconcrete workshop to be held from 1-4 Dec and Stefania noted that it is at the same time as the AGM. Gunny and Kyle will confirm the final date.
 - The layout or graphic design for the Innovaconcrete document is prepared by the Graphic Designer, Philippe, who is working on the website. Jack inquired about the completion of the draft document for review and Susan wanted to know if the draft document can be edited since it's already in a layout as a final version and to what extent the edits from the review will be considered. Addressing the above queries Stefania mentioned that the draft will be shared in few weeks and the draft document is in the design layout because it is necessary to have the images and text together and it is better to evaluate and discuss.
 - Jack Pyburn is interested in looking at the Chemistry side of this document and wants to check if there is consistency in the framework that relates to the methodology, values, and statement, etc. To this Stefania mentioned that the bureau will have more clarity on the structure and content when they receive the draft document but that the topics in the document are in geographical context with no relation to the chemistry side of Innovaconcrete.
 - v. **Madrid-New Delhi Document Publication:**
 - Leo Schmidt thanked Jack for sharing the MNDD document on email for receiving final comments from the bureau members before the document is completed. Leo mentioned

that this review would be the last opportunity for the bureau to share any comments before the document is finalized for publication. Furthermore, he said that all comments will be considered and incorporated by Maria who is working on the document.

- Susan Macdonald mentioned that having the thematic framework as a leaflet/ flyer insert would be a good opportunity to relaunch on social media.

b. Advocacy Group:

i. Heritage Alerts:

- Quito Hotel, Ecuador (Architect: Charles F. McKirahan):
 - Stefania mentioned that she wants to recontact the team from Ecuador. Also, she was invited to a conference in which she had participated but she hasn't heard anything further on this. Since it's 3 months now, Stefania will write an email to them with cc to JPY, KJ, and DR.
 - She reflected on the evaluation of the national and international significance saying that the bureau should consider examples of worldwide significance but also regional. She mentioned that some global designers are well-known like Kahn and Nervi but when the designer is globally unknown but regionally significant, we should create an opportunity to know them and their works through the heritage alerts while doing a comparative analysis.
 - She pointed out that that the Heritage Alert process should be made easier since the bureau has limited human resources and hence the number of heritage alerts should be restricted to 20 sites per year.
- Kala Academy, India (Architect: Charles Correa): Saranya shared on chat that the Statement of Global Significance is in progress and it will be shared next month by ICOMOS India via the nominator Charles Correa Foundation.
- Hotel Roses du Dadès M'Gouna, Morocco: No discussion
- Hotel National, Chişinău, Moldova:
 - Jack mentioned that Dumitru has requested the Bureau to vote on the matter regarding the preparation of the Heritage Alert for Hotel National, Chişinău, Moldova. Jack mentioned that the ISC20c has written a letter of support to the ICOMOS Moldova and has not received any acknowledgment yet.
 - Dumitru opined that the Letter from ISC20c was not sufficient and that the ISC20c needs to support the publication of the final heritage alert on the ICOMOS website. He mentioned this site was used as a case study for the Global Summer School for Heritage Alert organized by the ICOMOS board in August 2021. Moreover, Dumitru hopes that the ISC20c letter can be shared with the Moldova Parliament to which Stefania mentioned that this is unprecedented. The matter was discussed at length by the bureau members with regards to understanding the International and Regional Significance of the National Hotel and it was decided that Stefania will work with Dumitru in preparing a detailed Letter of Support. The ISC20c letter can be attached with the letter from the National Committee of Moldova to avoid any misunderstanding with the National Committee of Moldova.
 - Citing an example in Japan, Enrique Madia mentioned that international significance is subjective to the site/ building. Susan commented (in the chat) that, *"based on the information to date it seems to be the building is of National significance - I cannot assess if it's regional - possibly - international seems difficult to make the case"*. Enrique asked Dumitru to share the letter so that he can garner support from AIA and SIKA.
 - Leo supported the idea of a letter by Stefania and Dumitru and mentioned that as a committee we should support groundwork and this is about support for visibility. \
 - Jack reflected on the Heritage Alert aspect where the Bureau needs to take a call on the limitations of the bureau in terms of human resources and quick turnaround on the preparation of the Heritage Alerts.

- ii. **World Heritage Advisory:** No discussion.
- iii. **WM Watch:** No discussion
- iv. **Heritage Applause:** No discussion

c. Education Group:

i. **EP Mentoring Program:**

- Grethe shared that the EP group wrote to her and Susan regarding the five EOIs received for Bureau EP. She mentioned that she and Susan will have a discussion and update soon.
- The topic of the Newsletter was mentioned by Kaileigh, the information would be a repetition since the AGM report and Newsletter would have the same information.

ii. **Thematic Framework:** No update

iii. **MAP 2021:** No update

iv. **Toolkit:**

Enrique mentioned that he has a new staff for the toolkit social media and requested to update the toolkit on the website and if Kyle can do it. Jack mentioned that Kyle is not part of the bureau so requested Dumitru to help with this since he is familiar with the website.

d. Communications Group:

i. **Membership - Report on New Members & Update on Listserv:** Sangeeta mentioned that since the bureau has started we have 9 new members and the membership team is working on the Listserv with the individual lists received from Jack, Kiran, and ICOMOS. There are 6 more membership applications which will be reviewed soon.

ii. **Website/Social Media – Plan for uploading; Submission of Content and schedule:**

- Jack mentioned that the website is a matter of concern since Aziza has been unresponsive. Last they heard from Aziza she had mentioned that she has an intern and Kyle had shared the website details with Aziza and her intern.
- Considering the urgency of the matter, Kiran has accepted to work on this and upload the information on the new website which still has the information of the previous bureau. Kaileigh, Nityaa, and Saranya will support Kiran with the website work. The format is all there in WordPress. KJ will be the new point person for the website update supported by Dumitru.
- Dumitru mentioned that our bureau didn't pay 220 euros for maintenance in 2020 to which Jack and SL mentioned that now it is part of the ICOMOS website.

iii. **AGM:**

- Porto, Portugal, June 30-July 2, 2022 – No discussion
- AGM 2021: It was discussed that 1-4 December should be avoided since the innovaconcrete workshop will be held on those days. As a suggestion for the AGM schedule SL mentioned that during AGM there is a time slot given for member participation. Saranya mentioned that last year it was in the PechaKucha format. Susan reflected that in a number of meetings this format has been used with short 5 mins presentations but with larger online participation it might be challenging to manage. Leo supported the idea for presentations from members providing them an opportunity to share their 20c experience from 2021 and mentioned that someone will need to coordinate this section of the AGM. Stefania agreed to be the point of contact with support from EP Nityaa. Jack agreed to add this section to the AGM agenda. The yearly members reporting can include an option for the member to share a particular

ii. **Archive:** No discussion

iii. **Translation:** No discussion

8. Other matters:

a. **Next Meeting:** 15 October 2021

9. Adjourn